

SECTION 4
DISEASE PREVENTION AND CONTROL
CHAPTER 4.7.
FALLOWING IN AQUACULTURE

Article 4.7.1.

Introduction

~~Gaps in aquaculture production at the same location are commonly recognised to be of value in resting or restoring the local environment. As part of this strategy, fallowing can break re-infection cycles by removing loci of a disease from a farm. Consequently, fallowing~~ Fallowing is a routine carried out as a regular disease management measure in aquaculture, either as a best practice especially prior to the introduction of new populations of aquatic animals into a previously stocked used site, as part of a biosecurity plan in accordance with Chapter 4.1., or on the instructions of the Competent Authority, following an outbreak of a disease which is subject to emergency management measures as described in Chapter 4.Y.

Article 4.7.2.

Considerations for fallowing

Fallowing is used to provide a temporal break in pathogenic agent transmission cycles between susceptible populations of aquatic animals. It should be implemented with consideration given to:

- 1) the objective of fallowing such as preventing transmission between sequential production cycles, suppression of pathogenic agent infection pressure, or to eradicate a pathogenic agent from an aquaculture establishment;
- 2) the sources of infection at the production site such as farmed or wild populations of susceptible aquatic animals, vectors, fomites or pathogenic agents in the environment (e.g. water or sediment);
- 3) whether the pathogenic agent is obligate or facultative;
- 4) for obligate pathogenic agents, the period that they may remain viable in the environment;
- 5) the need for spatial coordination to synchronously fallow epidemiologically connected aquaculture establishments;
- 6) when the infective period is not known, the farm may be fallowed for a period, the length of which should be based on a risk assessment.

Article 4.7.3.

Voluntary fallowing

In order to promote improved health in aquaculture, the Aquatic Animal Health Service in a country may encourage the voluntary use of fallowing as a part of the biosecurity plan set out in Chapter 4.1. as a biosecurity measure for an individual aquaculture establishment or as a common biosecurity measure among all

aquaculture establishments that are considered epidemiologically linked in a given area. a routine management strategy for many diseases. Account should be taken of When encouraging aquaculture operators to follow their establishments, the Competent Authority should emphasise the likely beneficial effects of fallowing in proportion to the economic costs involved.

The Aquatic Animal Health Service should also ~~consider such factors as~~ take into account the level of risk a particular disease poses to the local and national aquaculture operations, previous knowledge of the severity of a disease(s), the infective period of the disease in question, and distribution of the pathogenic agent(s), as well as the relevant socioeconomic conditions ~~and when assessing the potential benefits pertaining to the general aquatic resources in the area.~~ When the infective period is not known, the farm may be fallowed for a period, the length of which should be based on a risk assessment.

Article 4.7.4.

Compulsory fallowing

Compulsory fallowing may be required in accordance with the instructions of the Competent Authority following an outbreak of an important disease which has been subject to the measures described in Chapters 4.X. and 4.Y. However, where an official stamping-out policy is being carried out for a disease of concern, the Aquatic Animal Health Service should The Competent Authority may require that an infected aquaculture establishment, and all other relevant aquaculture establishments in an officially declared established infected zone, are be subjected to a required period of fallowing, if necessary synchronised. This fallowing will be carried out for a period of time which is prescribed by the Competent Authority, following risk assessment. A period of synchronous fallowing may be required in relevant establishments in the infected zone should this be indicated by the risk assessment.

Article 4.7.52.

Legal powers

In the cases referred to in Article 4.7.1. where fallowing is ~~may be~~ a compulsory measure, prescribed by the Competent Authority, for instance in the establishment or restoration of a disease free zone, countries should establish a legal framework must be in place to: for the implementation of fallowing procedures in aquaculture establishments. Legal provisions could include:

Norway	<p>Category (editorial):</p> <p>Proposed amended text (or precise suggested deletion):</p> <p>Norway suggests the following editorial change:</p> <p>In the cases referred to in Article 4.7.1 4., where fallowing is may be a compulsory measure, <u>prescribed by the Competent Authority</u>, for instance in the establishment or restoration of a <u>disease free zone</u>, countries should establish a legal framework <u>must be in place to:</u> for the implementation of fallowing procedures in aquaculture establishments. Legal provisions could include:</p> <p>Rationale:</p> <p>Article 4.7.4 seems to be the appropriate Article to refer to.</p> <p>Supporting evidence: Not relevant.</p>
---------------	--

- 1) define ~~defining~~ the ~~disease~~ circumstances when fallowing or synchronised fallowing is required;

- 2) ~~define~~ defining mechanisms based on *risk assessment* where individual disease-specific measures may be determined, including when *fallowing* should commence ~~*disinfection*~~ and the length of the *fallowing* period prior to the re-introduction of *susceptible species*;
- 3) ~~following permission by the Competent Authority to restock with *susceptible species*, defining a period of *surveillance* and *diagnostic* to verify freedom from the specified *disease*.~~

Article 4.7.63.

Technical parameters for the implementation of a compulsory statutory *fallowing* plan

Taking into account the categories of *aquaculture* production systems referred to in Article 4.1.5., *fallowing* of an *aquaculture establishment* ~~*Fallowing* of a farm~~ should take paragraph 5 of Article 4.X.7. into account and start immediately after:

- 1) destruction of and biosecure disposal:
 - a) ~~removal~~ of all *susceptible species* of *aquatic animals* for the *disease* of concern; and
 - b2) ~~removal~~ of all species of *aquaculture* animals which are capable of acting as *vectors* of the *disease* of concern; and
 - c3) ~~if appropriate, removal~~ of other species, if indicated by *risk assessment*; and
- 24) removal of water in which infected stocks have been held, where feasible; and
- 35) appropriate *disinfection* measures have been completed on equipment and other contaminated materials in accordance with Article 4.7.4., under supervision of the *Aquatic Animal Health Services*. ~~equipment and other materials contaminated or otherwise capable of harbouring *infection* have either been removed or subjected to *disinfection* to standards approved by the *Aquatic Animal Health Service*.~~

The length of the compulsory ~~statutory~~ *fallowing* period should be based on scientific evidence of the likelihood of free a *pathogenic agent* remaining infective outside its *aquaculture* host(s) in the local environment, at a level likely to cause an unacceptable risk of re-*infection* of the *aquaculture establishment*. Account should be taken of the extent of the *disease outbreak*, local distribution of *susceptible species* and possible *vectors* ~~availability of alternative hosts~~, the survival and infectivity characteristics of the *pathogenic agent* and the local climatological, geographical and hydrographical factors. In addition, the level of *risk* to the local *aquaculture* industry and wider aquatic resources should be taken into consideration ~~may be included~~. A scientifically based *risk assessment* approach should be used to determine the length of the *fallowing* period.

Article 4.7.74.

Instructions for ~~disinfection~~ Disinfection prior to *fallowing*

Competent Authorities Countries establishing *fallowing* procedures should develop a detailed set of instructions for *disinfection* of *aquaculture establishments* prior to *fallowing*, where appropriate for the type of production system and circumstances. This should be completed in accordance with Chapter 4.4. and for compulsory *fallowing* Chapters 4.X. and 4.Y. ~~For this purpose, the instructions set out in Chapter 4.4. of the *Aquatic Code* and in Chapter 1.1.3. of the *Aquatic Manual* should be used as guidelines,~~ taking into account current scientific knowledge on the efficacy of the treatments for the *pathogenic agent* of concern.

Article 4.7.85.

Restocking after *fallowing*

~~An~~ ~~No~~ *aquaculture establishment* that has been ~~subject to~~ subject to compulsory *fallowing* should not be restocked until the compulsory *fallowing* period has been completed and permission from the *Competent Authority* has been received.

When restocking, care should be taken not to use stocks of *aquatic animals* that could ~~would~~ compromise the objectives of the *fallowing* procedure. To increase confidence in the effectiveness of the *fallowing* procedures, all farms subjected to compulsory *fallowing* should have a period of ~~high-level~~ official *surveillance* after *susceptible species* have been restocked. The duration and intensity of the *surveillance* should be appropriate for the *disease in question* ~~of concern~~ and subject to the requirements set out in Chapter 1.4., and to the relevant disease-specific chapter in cases of *listed diseases* local conditions.